EIS001450

P.02



February 9, 2000

RECEIVED

FFR 1 4 7000

Wendy R. Dixon, EIS Project Manager
Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
U.S. Department of Energy
P.O. Box 30307, Mail Stop 010
North Las Vegas, NV 89036-0307

<u>Subject</u>: Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for a Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste at Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada (DOE/EIS-0250D)

Dear Ms. Dixon:

The Citizens' Advisory Panel (CAP) of the Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR) Local Oversight Committee, Inc., (LOC) voted to submit comments on DOE/EIS-0250D, the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for a Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel (SNF) and High-Level Radioactive Waste at Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada. The LOC Board has not had the opportunity to review and approve these comments, and so they should be considered as submitted by the CAP only.

The LOC is a non-profit regional organization funded by the State of Tennessee and established to provide local government and citizen input into the environmental management and operation of the DOE ORR. The Board of Directors of the LOC is composed of elected and appointed officials from the City of Oak Ridge and the seven counties surrounding and downstream of the ORR, and the chair of the CAP. The CAP has up to 20 members with diverse backgrounds who represent the greater ORR region.

Appropriate disposal (or recycling) of SNF is a necessary component of the nuclear industry fuel life cycle. Nuclear power is a key means of reducing U.S. carbon dioxide emissions and lessening the potential for global warming. On previous occasions, the CAP has reviewed and/or commented on documents concerning the disposition of surplus weapons-grade materials, including highly enriched uranium and plutonium. The Records of Decision for both of these included conversion and fabrication into reactor fuel with subsequent disposition as SNF.

- The CAP endorses the Preferred Alternative to proceed with the Proposed Action for 70,000 metric tons of heavy metal (MTHM) as described in Section 2.6 of the draft EIS. The CAP's support is based in part on the small short-term (about 100 years) impacts and the lower cost of the Proposed Action (\$28.8 billion) relative to that of the No-Action Alternative (\$51.5 to \$56.7 billion). The preferred alternative in the draft EIS supports the nation's goal of nonproliferation, as well as DOE's Environmental Management program by the inclusion of about 7,000 MTHM of DOE SNF and high-level radioactive waste.
- Potential long-term (100 to 10,000 years) impacts seem to be fairly well understood but understandably with more uncertainty than the short-term impacts (e.g. Table 5-3, page 5-22). Impacts beyond 10,000 years seem to need additional modeling, and DOE plans additional studies as discussed on page 5-13. The CAP would like to see results included in the final EIS.
- The CAP finds it appropriate that the draft EIS considers the following elements: additional inventory, a retrieval contingency; receipt prior to the start of emplacement; three packaging scenarios; three thermal load scenarios; and multiple transportation scenarios (both national and within Nevada).

Anderson • Meigs • Rhea • Roane • City of Oak Ridge • Knox • Loudon • Morgan

W.R. Dixon 02/09/00 Page 2 of 2

4

10

The mitigation measure to delay repository closure up to 300 years (page 9-16) should be seriously considered by DOE. This would leave the option for retrieval and waste recycling or destruction using future technologies as a viable alternative.

Based on the LOC's experience in Oak Ridge with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) mitigation plans for local DOE environmental decisions, the CAP strongly recommends that local and tribal governments be consulted during mitigation planning and implementation. Although this is not required by NEPA, it would add an important element of public acceptance to the highly charged political environment surrounding this issue. The question of long-term funding for stewardship of the site should also be addressed in the final EIS.

The following are specific comments about the document:

- The repository layout for the low thermal load scenario (Figure 2-16 on page 2-26) has not been
 adequately addressed in Section 3. Figures 3-7 and 3-8 (pages 3-22 and 3-23) could be modified to
 include the low thermal load footprint and additional discussion could be added to the text as
 necessary.
- 8 2. The final EIS should show a preference for particular scenarios where multiple scenarios are discussed.
- 9 3. Since the draft EIS is based on the preliminary design concept, the final EIS, if based on a later design, should state the difference or it should state that a Supplemental EIS would be prepared if the later more mature design significantly changes the analysis.
 - 4. There are several problems where words state that a figure or table shows something that it doesn't, as noted below:
 - Page 8-7 the text in the second bullet gives different (and lower) ranges for the number of waste packages than the totals for each category in referenced Table 8-34 (page 8-60).
 - b. Page 8-74, activity 2 this description incorrectly states that Figure 8-3 (page 8-11) shows the locations of underground nuclear tests; the location of the nuclear and high explosive test zones are, however, shown on Figure 3-2 (page 3-8).

The CAP appreciates the opportunity to review and comment on the Draft EIS for a Geologic Repository for the Disposal of SNF and High-Level Radioactive Waste at Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada. If you have any questions, please call me at 865-483-1333.

Sincerely,

Norman A. Mulvenon

Chair, LOC Citizens' Advisory Panel

noman q Mulveron

cc: LOC document registry
Earl Leming, Director, TDEC DOE-O
Bill Richardson, Secretary of Energy
Representative Zach Wamp
Representative John J. Duncan, Jr.
Representative Van Hilleary
Senator Bill Frist
Senator Fred Thompson
Vice President Al Gore

TOTAL P.03 Z